CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this **RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT** is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington,

DC 20231, on this (day of - i.C.) 2002.

Barbara J. Whaley

alutionar genorman

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : MÜELLER, et al.

For : STATOR AND STATOR WINDING METHOD

FOR USE WITH BRUSHLESS DIRECT

CURRENT MOTORS

Serial No. : 09/508,934

Filed : June 2, 2001

Group Art Unit : 3729

Examiner : A. Tugbang

Attorney Docket No. : TRW 2 0256

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2518

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT (with traverse)

AUS 2 3 2002

Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed July 16, 2002, requiring an election to the Restriction Requirement, applicants elect, with traverse, Group III which is drawn to a coil winding method. The elected group includes original claims 11-19.

Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1, drawn to a method for winding as amended above properly belongs in Group III drawn to a coil winding method. For that reason, the election in response to the Restriction Requirement is made with traverse.

More particularly, the original Restriction Requirement was made because, according to the Examiner, Group I does not require the special technical features of a first group n1 and a second group n2 of conductors as required by Group III. However, applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 1 does indeed require the special technical features of first and second groups of conductors.

In claim 1, a first set of conductors are allocated to the first coil and a second set of conductors are allocated to the second coil. Independent claim 11 identifies the first group of conductors as "n1" and the second group as "n2". This distinction, however, is insignificant and non-substantive.

Next, the Examiner took the position that Group III does not require the special technical features of a predetermined number of conductors placed in parallel as required by Group I. This original limitation has now been canceled from independent claim 1 by way of the enclosed Second Preliminary Amendment.

For at least the above reasons, applicants respectfully submit that independent <u>claim 1</u> and <u>claims 11-19</u> <u>should now be examined on their merits</u>.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

Date Date

James W. McKee
Reg. No. 26,482
Michael E. Hudzinski
Reg. No. 34,185
1100 Superior Avenue
Seventh Floor
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518
(216) 861-5582

N:\TRWZ\200256\BJW1992A.WPD

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this **SECOND PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT** is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington,

DC 20231, on this day of the control 2002.

By All U. C. Holling Barbara J. Whaley

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

August 16, 2002

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re application of: MÜLLER, et al. | <pre>) Examiner: A. Tugbang)</pre> |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Serial No.: 09/508,934 |) Art Unit: 3729) |
| Filed: June 2, 2000 |) |
| For: STATOR AND STATOR WINDING METHOD FOR USE WITH BRUSHLESS DIRECT CURRENT MOTORS |))))) |
| Date of Last Office Action: None |) |
| Attorney Docket No.: TRW 2 0256 |)) |
| | Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2518 |

SECOND PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.121

Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

Prior to substantive examination of the abovereferenced patent application, applicants respectfully request amendment of the application as follows:

